electronic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Bis(isopropylamino)methylcarbenium tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)gallate

Ilia A. Guzei,^a* Samuel Dagorne^b and Richard F. Jordan^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Iowa State University of Science and Technology, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Chicago, 5735 South Ellis Ave., Chicago, IL 60637, USA Correspondence e-mail: iguzei@iastate.edu

Received 11 January 2000 Accepted 10 March 2000

Data validation number: IUC0000075

The title compound, $[MeC(NH^iPr)_2][Ga(C_6F_5)_4]$ crystallizes as discrete ions forming interionic hydrogen bonds of the type $N-H\cdots F$.

Comment

As part of our reactivity studies of cationic Group 13 metal complexes (Coles & Jordan, 1997; Ihara *et al.*, 1998; Radzewich *et al.*, 1998, 1999), the reaction of the Ga amidinate salt $[{MeC(N^iPr)_2}_2Ga_2Me_3][B(C_6F_5)_4], (I), with H_2O was investigated. Compound (I) reacts readily with H_2O to yield the title compound, (II).$



Complex (II) crystallizes as discrete acetamidinium cations $\{MeC(NH'Pr)_2\}^+$ and $Ga(C_6F_5)_4^-$ anions that form interionic hydrogen bonds. There are two likely hydrogen-bonding interactions N1-H1···F21($\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, z) and N2-H2···F16($\frac{1}{2} + x$, y, $\frac{1}{2} - z$), with N···F separations of 3.121 (4) and 3.135 (3) Å, and N-H···F angles of 162 and 167°, respectively.

The bond distances and angles in the cation (Table 1) are very similar to those in the closely related acetamidinium cations in $[MeC(NH_2)_2]Cl$ (Cannon *et al.*, 1976) and $[{MeC(NH_2)_2}_2]CO_3$ (Norrestam, 1984). The bond angles about the central C28 atom [average 120.0 (6)°] are very similar and their sum is *ca* 360°, as expected for an *sp*²-carbon. The bond distances C28–N1 [1.306 (4) Å] and C28–N2 [1.311 (4) Å] are statistically equivalent. These bond distances are intermediate between normal Csp^2 –N single (1.458 Å; The Ga(C₆F₅)₄⁻ anion adopts a nearly ideal tetrahedral structure. The angles about Ga range between 105.0 (1) and 112.8 (1)°, and thus remain close to the ideal tetrahedral angle of 109.47°. The Ga-C bond lengths [average 2.009 (13) Å] are in excellent agreement with the Ga-C distances in [(Ph₃P)₂N][Ga(C₆F₅)₄] [average 2.01 (2) Å; Tebbe *et al.*, 1996] and are slightly longer than those in GaPh₃ [average 1.957 (16) Å; Malone & McDonald, 1970], probably due to a less electrophilic Ga center in Ga(C₆F₅)₄⁻.

Experimental

Analytically pure (II) (70 mg, 0.35 mmol) was dissolved in wet C_6H_5Cl (*ca* 0.5 ml) and layered with pentane (*ca* 3 ml). Colorless crystals of (II) formed after 3 d at 296 K.

Crystal data

$(C_8H_{19}N_2)[Ga(C_6F_5)_4]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 881.21$	Cell parameters from 5204
Orthorhombic, Pbca	reflections
$a = 21.4651 (12) \text{\AA}$	$\theta = 2-27^{\circ}$
b = 13.3773 (8) Å	$\mu = 0.959 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 23.3868 (14) \text{\AA}$	T = 193 (2) K
$V = 6715.4 (7) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, yellow
Z = 8	$0.35 \times 0.32 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
$D_x = 1.743 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

```
Bruker CCD area-detector diffract-
ometer \varphi scans
Absorption correction: empirical (SADABS; Blessing, 1995)
T_{min} = 0.730, T_{max} = 0.910
31 325 measured reflections
6850 independent reflections
```

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0180P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.075$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.014	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
6850 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.54 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
501 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.62 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ga—C1	1.993 (3)	N1-C28	1.306 (4)
Ga-C13	2.005 (3)	N1-C27	1.454 (4)
Ga-C7	2.019 (3)	N2-C28	1.311 (4)
Ga-C19	2.020 (3)	N2-C30	1.472 (4)
C1-Ga-C13	105.03 (12)	C13-Ga-C19	110.62 (13)
C1-Ga-C7	111.78 (13)	C7-Ga-C19	105.18 (13)
C13-Ga-C7	111.54 (13)	C28-N1-C27	127.3 (3)
C1-Ga-C19	112.84 (13)	C28-N2-C30	126.9 (3)

3685 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.084$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.37^\circ$

 $\begin{array}{l} h=0 \rightarrow 26 \\ k=0 \rightarrow 16 \end{array}$

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 29$

Intensity decay: <1%

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1996); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

References

- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Bruker (1996). SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cannon, J. R., White, A. H. & Willis, A. C. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 271–272.

Coles, M. P. & Jordan, R. F. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 8125-8126.

- Ihara, E., Young, V. G. Jr & Jordan, R. F. (1998). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 8277– 8278.
- Levine, I. R. (1963). J. Chem. Phys. 38, 2326-2328.
- Malone, J. F. & McDonald, W. S. (1970). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 3362-3367.
- Norrestam, R. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 297-299.
- Radzewich, C. E., Coles, M. P. & Jordan, R. F. (1998). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 9384–9385.
- Radzewich, C. E., Guzei, I. A. & Jordan, R. F. (1999). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121, 8673–8674.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXTL* (Version 5.1), *SHELXL*97 and *SHELXS*97. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sutton, L. E. (1965). Interatomic Distances and Configuration in Molecules and Ions, Special Publications No. 18. London: The Chemical Society.
- Tebbe, K.-F., Gilles, T., Conrad, F. & Tyrra, W. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1663– 1666.